1892-3
Magrai F.

NOTES

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

File No. 135 - 1892

Department of Revenue Serials No.

Section Magrai. Section I

Subject. Reg:- Kesarvada Sarja Tumkur Taluk

References :

Date	To whom sent	Notes and instructions
		Case B'

9
V 102

(General Serial No. 30)

1887

From

To The Inam Supt

Dated 1
Despatched
Received

Dept

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 55705
No. 77

Has the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of Letter No. 369 dated 14th Nov. 88 from the Dy. Commr, Tumkur to, and to request information as to whether the division of the marginally noted Jodi village into 14 shares among the descendants of the original Grantee recognized in the Inam Sett. and what number of shares has been allotted to the Durga in question.

A Copy of the Inam Sett. records connected with the matter shd also be furnished.

By order

Inam village of Kesaramdu in the Tumkur taluk granted for the maintenance of the Moneen Padsha Khadri Durga

(General Serial No. 31.)

1884

From.

To The Secretary to Government

Date 21st August

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Vernacular

General No. 2224

No. 126

Approving of the recommendation to appoint Syed Hakeem Rooba Thaddi as manager of the Sunnamangalam village of Karaikudi.

Transmitted

(General Series.

1185.

From

To D.C. Tumkur

Dated 27 July 1893

Despatched 27

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 113
Mucj No. 735-92

Issuing instructions for the management of the Momin Baddha Khadi Dargah in Kesaramadi Tumkur Taluk &c. appointing one Khadir Baddha Khadri, as mar of the Dargah.

Read,

letter from the

Dyconnt., Tanjore Dist.,

No 384 dy 29 August-84,

recommending under

circumstances represented

the retention of the

Sarvamanyam village of

Kasavanadan, Tirukkur

Taluk, to the Inamdars,

& recommending certain

persons to be appd as

Managers.

No $\frac{3224}{126}$ of 2 10 S-84.

Order.

The Dewan approves

of the recommendation

to appoint as Managers

the persons named in

the margin (Nos 1 & 2

of Dyconnt's letter).

Syed Haleem Padasha Khadri,
Son of Syed Ahmd Rahimm
Shah Khadri.

Syed Haleem Pada shah
Khadri Son of Syed Murtuza
Shah Khadri

Sy-

to Dyconnt.

Tanjore Utgnest file

Ellen
1

6529

468.

(General Serial No. 29.)

1883.

From The Offg Dy Commissioner
Tumkur district

To

Devan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~

of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dated 13th December 1883.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. / No. 592 } 1883—84.

This office No. 3599 27 Aug 1883
requesting orders for the
restoration of the Kesa-
ramadoo Survamania
Service Inam village in
the Toomkur Taluk. —

Has the honor to request
the favor of an early reply
to the above. — —

I am Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
H. B.
Offg Dy Commissioner.

14.12.83

(General Serial No 39)

1883 3765.

From Deputy Commissioner
Ilinkúr District.

To Dewan
The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore. Revenue Department

Dated 27 August 1883.
Despatched 1st September "
Received

Enclosures No. Records.

General No.
No. 3549 1883-84.

Under circumstances represented, recommends the restoration of the Inamdars of Kesavanadu, Immkúr Taluk, to the Inam dars with effect from the current Fasli year.

Rep. to the Dep. Co.
that I approve
of the recommendation
& appoint as Managers
the persons named in
the margin (Nos 1 & 2).

15/8/84

Ther 18/8/84.

No 359

Tiinkúr District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tiinkúr 27th 1883.

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore -
Revenue Department -

Sir,

I have the honor to submit that the Survamanyam Village of Kesarmadu in the Tiinkúr K. K. P. Taluk with an extent of 98-15-3 and a Beriz of Rupees 980 has been endowed as Devadayam to a Mahomedan shrine called Momin Padshah Kadri Darga, and enjoyed by a number of the descendants of the said Momin Padshah the original donee -

2 - From the enclosed records it would appear that in May 1860 during the incumbency of General Dobbs the Superintend of the late Chitaldurg Division the Village was divided among the several members of the donee's

donees family into 14 shares and a portion was set apart for the purpose of the meeting from its revenue the expenses of feeding traveller Fakeers, maintaining Darga and the salary of the Village Shanboguee, and dividing among themselves any surplus money that may accrue. For the management of this work and rendering an account thereof to the shareholders, the marginally noted 5 persons were appointed as managers, and during their life-time every thing was going on regularly. The first four of these died before the famine and the fifth man, Murtuza Shah Khadri was left the sole manager. The several shareholders had alienated their lands and the Government dues amounting to Rupees 314-10-6 had been allowed to fall into arrears from Dhata. The shareholders and the sole manager having failed to pay it, the Village was distrained in April 1850 and the Mackandars were informed

1. Rajasahab Kharadri
2. Pir Sahib Khadri
3. Kachimiah Khadri
4. Kandak Burhanah Khadri
5. Murtuza Shah Khadri

* Dhata - 62-7-9
Cowries - 62-7-9
Bakri Khanya 75-10-11
Rama &c - 114-- -

informed that it would be re-
-sumed to Government if they did
not settle the arrears - In the
meantime the shareholders having
complained to Mr. Vijayendra Row
the then Offg: Deputy Commissioner
charging Murtuza Shah Khadri
with neglect of duty and mis-appi-
-propriation of money, an enquiry
was held and on 24th February
1881, Mr. Sheshadri Iyer passed
an order disallowing the private
alienations as invalid and that
the Village should be attached.
He also directed that for the
management of the Darga pro-
-per persons should be ap-
-pointed and that until then
a good man should be nomi-
-nated to look after the reve-
-nue - Accordingly the Village
is ever since under attachment
and the patel has been ap-
-pointed to make the collections
with the Shanbhog of the said
Village -

3. No one has yet been ap
-pointed to look after the
management

management of the Darga, but the expenses required for the performance of service &c are paid by the Amildar on application by the Inachandars during the seasons of "Urs" &c in every year. This appears to be the cause of much inconvenience for the performance of timely services to the Darga for want of proper supervision and I am afraid the regularity and system also could not be expected when there is no responsible manager appointed as in previous years.

4. In May 1882, the Inamdars applied for the restoration to their charge of the Village urging that the arrears have all been cleared up and that ever since the Village was placed under attachment they have been put to great hardship; and Mr. C. V. Row asked the Amildar to elect a person to be appointed as Manager. The Amildar in May last reported that the shareholders

Shareholders had failed to
select one among themselves
I thereupon sent for all the par
-ties concerned and consulted their
wishes. The marginalia noted 3
Candidates have been put for-
-ward - One and all of the Share-
-holders and also the Villagers
unanimous in selecting the first
man while some want with
him the 2nd man and some the
3rd - This last individual Syed
Khadar Badasha is the son
of the sole manager and the per-
-son who was complained against
before and allowed the things
fall out of order - The 2nd man
is his elder step brother and
does not seem to have had any
thing to do with the former mis-
-management and as far as I
can gather about his conduct
and behaviour he appears
to be a quiet and respectable
man among the large num
of the Shareholders, and there
appears cause for the Ryots

1. Syed Abdul Rahiman Shah Saheb
2. Syed Nadeem Badsha Shah Khadri
Syed Muntaz Shah Khadri
3. Syed Khader Badsha Shah Khadri son of
Syed Muntaz Shah Khadri

of the Village to grumble against him or to show their sign of discontents against his ~~[illegible]~~ appointment as a Co-manager of the Darga with the first man. I would therefore associate him with Syed Hakeem Padshah of Khadri and have them both as managers to cooperate and assist each other and they have agreed to undertake the work and given depositions binding themselves to keep a proper account for all receipts and disbursements and also to perform the duties as managers to the Darga affairs to the satisfaction of the community and the Government and to use the surplus proceeds of the maddaeka lands first for the repairs of the shrine tank and Masjid and then divide the remainder among the shareholders. As a guarantee for the fulfilment of these conditions they have given a surety by name Subbiah a respectable merchant of

of the said Village who has consented to pay a sum of Rupees 300 in case of default on the part of the managers.

5. As the shareholders who are solely depending on the Village for their livelihood are suffering much since it was attached, and as the arrears have been fully paid up, I beg to recommend the restoration of the Village to the Inamdars with effect from the current official year.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.

Dmk.

K. S.

Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

Dlk

(General Serial No 20)

1888

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur the 14 November 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3 + record

General No

No 567

Submits for orders enclosing papers and correspondence regarding the management of Kesuruna-du Inam Village, Tumkur Taluk.

Head Office.
Is the division of the Enam in 14 shares recorded in the Enam Settlement?
What No of shares has been allotted to the Surgur.
Send copy of the Enam Settlement record.
23/11 RK

Submit for orders
Tumkur 15/11

No 367

Tiptur District -
Deputy Commissioner's Office -
Tiptur 14th November 1888.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore -

Sir,

I have the honor to for-
ward the accompanying papers
together with a precis of the cor-
respondence for the orders of the
Dewan -

Letter No 359 of 7 August 1883 - Chief Secretary. Dewan's Pro- ings No 3224/126 - 2 Sept August 1884. - File of Enamdar papers -

2 - The dual management was very inconvenient and as a consequence the affairs of the Inam Village of Kesarmadu in the Tiptur Taluk granted ori-
-ginally for the maintenance of a Mohomedan Darga have suffered much - Proper accounts have not been kept, much useless expendi-
ture has been incurred and the Darga has been allowed to remain
in

in a state of disrepair. - Fortunately one of the Managers has since died and the other Manager may now be left the sole controller of the Village and the Darga - But in the interests of the institution as also those of the numerous share holders, the descendants of the original grantee he should be bound to rubmit monthly accounts of receipts and disbursements to the Amildar of the Tiruttani Taluk, who should exercise the same authority and functions over this Village and the Darga, as over any other Government Inam Institution - Otherwise the funds will be ill-spent and neither the descendants of the original grantee nor the Darga will be benefitted or properly managed - I solicit the orders

of

of the Dewan, and in anticipa-
-tion of them beg to state that
I have directed the surviving
Manager Syed Halim Badsha
Khadri to continue as sole ma
-nager subject to his rendering
proper accounts as stated above.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obed. Servant

W. H. Nuttall
Deputy Commissioner

Encl.

Precis

de letter No 9 27. August to Secreta- and reply 3224/126 of 21st -gust 1884-

The Inam Village of Kesaramadu in the Sirsikur Taluk granted for the maintenance of the Moneen Pad- -shakhadri Darga, was being managed by five Mahomedan Panchayetdars as per arrangements made in 1800 by General Dobbs, the then Superin- -tendent- The Village was divided into 14 shares, among the descendants of the original grantee, a portion being, however, set apart for the purposes of the maintenance of the Darga as well as the Village Taux-

2- The arrears of Local cess on the Village, due to Government for 4 years from Bhatu 1876-77 to Pramadi 1879-80, not having been paid, the Village was attached in 1881 under orders of the Deputy Com- -missioner Mr Sheshadri Iyer and continued to be managed through Government agency-

3- In 1882 the Inamdars paid

up

up all the arrears. and claimed restoration of the Village, when Mr. Mahomed Alli, ~~———~~ the then Deputy Commissioner seeing that only one of the above five Panchayetdars survived and that he also mismanaged the affairs of the Darga, thought it advisable to restore the Village and appoint fresh managers, and accordingly recommended to the Dewan the names of the marginally noted two men for nomination as Managers. This was sanctioned by the Dewan, and orders accordingly issued to the Amildar for making over the Village to the above Managers. The 1st Manager holds 1 share in the Village while the 2d 3 shares.

1. Syed Halimsha Khadri, son of Syed Abdul Rahimansha Khadri.
2. Syed Halimsha Padasha Khadri, son of Syed Murtuzasha Khadri.

4. While the Village and the Darga were thus being managed by the above 2 men conjointly, one of them, viz: the 1st Manager Halimsha Khadri, in December 1886 petitioned the Deputy Commissioner complaining against the 2d Manager, and accusing him of misappropriation of the realizations of the

Petition of 16 December 1886 from Halimsha Khadri.

Village

Village and mismanagement of the Dargah - The Complainant added the 2d Manager collected the revenue of the Village himself and expended it wrongly without the complainant's knowledge -

5- This petition was referred to the Assistant Commissioner Annaji Row for enquiry and report with an observation that the dual management was certainly very inconvenient and that the more eligible of the two should be recommended to have the management -

Vide depositions before the Annaji Row -

6- Mr Annaji Row accordingly enquired into the complaint in February and March 1887 -

Vide Canarese memo -

7- He observed that 2 points viz: (1) whether, as alleged by the 1st Manager, the 2d Manager expended the amount shown in the Shanbhoge's patti in a manner prejudicial to the interests of the Institution, and (2) whether such expenditure was acceptable or not, might

might be taken into consideration, that it was proved that the expenditure incurred as per patti was met from the money due to shareholders in accordance with their wishes and consent, and not from the fixed allowance of the Darga, nor the Shanbhoguis remuneration, nor even the Government dues, that as the Inam in question was service Inam, the interference therein on part of Government was called for only as far as the Government revenue and the irregularities, if any, in the expenditure of fixed allowances to the Darga were concerned, that the complainant (1st manager) failed to prove that any such irregularities were committed by the 2d Manager, and his complaint would therefore fall to the ground, that as regards management complained of, it would seem to him (Assistant Commissioner) that the 1st Manager (complainant) thought it proper for him to be aloof, seeing that all the

5

the shareholders were biased
the side of the 2d. Manager and
would therefore dislike interference
on his (1st. Manager's) part, and tha
all the shareholders were willing to
have only the 2d. Manager for the
management of the Village and the
Darga - Under these circumstances, the
Assistant Commissioner opined that
one of these two courses might be
adopted, viz: either to appoint,
in addition to the existing 2 Managers,
a third manager to be selected,
and approved of by all the share-
-holders, in which case the opinion
of the majority of managers would
preponderate, or to direct the present
2 managers to conduct business in
pursuance to the wishes of the ma-
-jority of the shareholders.

Vide orders Nos. 445 & 477 of 7th and 21st September 1887.

8. Upon this the parties and shareholders were summoned to appear before the Deputy Commissioner, who on the 29th September 1887 passed an order to the following effect-

"The

"The Village and the Dargah will be inspected by me after the Dasara holidays are over. Until then the management should be carried on with harmony by both the managers as per orders of the Diwan, and in the event of any one of them disagreeing and not carrying out the orders, he will be liable to be removed from the manager-ship. They should be strictly enjoined and further dispute avoided. The Amildar should make enquiries and report upon the condition of the Dargah, how the business is carried on there, and whether any money is improperly spent".

9. In reply to the above orders, the Amildar reported that the 2d. Manager did not appear though served with notice thrice, that the fact of the 2d Manager alone having collected the revenue of the Village and expended Rupees 219-3-

Vide Amildar's report No. 383 of 11th August 1888.

on

on account of a Civil suit, getting the expenditure entered in the Khirdi, shows that the work has not been performed jointly by both managers and that the amount was not properly expended, that he saw the Darga which is in disrepair, though the walls have been plastered a little, and that the 1st and 2d managers not being in good terms with each other, and as the latter alone conducts the business independantly being an experienced man, it does not appear that he ever consulted the 1st Manager in the discharge of duties connected with the management of the Village and the Darga.

10. Meanwhile in June 1888, the 1st Manager Syed Halimbadsha Khadri presented a petition to the Deputy Commissioner stating that for the last 6 months he was suffering from dropsy, and requesting that his

Vide Halimbadsha Khadri's petition 15-6-88.

his son Syed Mahomed Badsha Khadri might be nominated as manager - He also produced a Mahazer, said to have been given by the shareholders, who state therein that they will be glad to see the late Manager's son nominated to succeed him - This petition was also forwarded to the Amildar who in the above report, does not say any thing on this subject -

Deputy Commissioner
Cuddapah District

Rlb

1888 No 9654
173

From The Supt. of Inam Settls.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 7th December.
Desp. No. 8th "

Enclosure No. 1

No. 39 of 1888-89.

His Docket No. 570/77 of the 1st Instant.

I do, as requested in the above, have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register of Kevara mudu Village, Soorabur Taluk, from which it will be seen that the whole Village was confirmed in the name of the Dargá in question at the Inam Settlement.

I have &c

Supt. of Inam Settls

Supt. of Inam Settls

Co of the Registers of Enamsin the Village of Kesarumad

Class, Extent & value of Inam

Number of Case or Entire holding under one Grant in the Village.	General Class to which the Inam belongs	Survey number and name of field or subdivision, or	Local Name under the classification adopted in that Column	Extent Measure in acres and fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
(Y.D.S.D. 735. 1.)	enadaya		Jamin Jada account 1802-3	
			area of the village	
			Deduct Malayath &c	
			Remainder cultivable	
			dry	11-12-2½ or
			wet	16-7-5 or
				27-19-7½ or
	Inamdar's Tymaishy Cattly of 1869.		area of the village	98-16-3 or
			Deduct Malayath	
			dry	3-11-1½ or
			Remainder cultivable	5-4-1½
			dry 22-19 1½	- 303-49
			wet 72-5-0	- 148-84
			95-4-1½	- 452-33

Annual Revenue			
Year	Land Rev	Jaga	Total
1859	1048-12-4	4 14	--
" 60	848-12-4	4 10	--
" 61	768-12-4	1 2	"
" 62	582-8-4	4 12	"
" 63	766 12-4	2	—
" 64	604 12-4	1 2 8	
" 65	792-12-4	1 2 8	
" 66	792 12 "	"	
" 67	1132 12 "	"	
" 68	1052 12 "	1 3 10	
Total	8,541 4 -	15-2	8,600 32
Average	860 - - 4		

The wet is irrigated by the Inamdars Tank.

Gross Extent of Inam Lands under wet cultivation 241 acres
Do Do dry 45-5-
Do Do uncultivable 37 "
Do Do Tank bed 15 "
Gross Extent of Inam Lands 335-10 acres

Deduct the Reservation Lands as per Inamdars Petition

Gross Extent of Inam Lands 335-10 acres
Deduct Reservation Lands as per Survey Report dated 1871-72
So that the Reservation Lands only are adopted by the Village
S. 335-4-10
Or Rs 479-11-7
Assessing Fractions
Gross Inam Lands 335-10-6
Deduct Lands under Tank 15-0-0
Net Inam Lands under cultivation 320-10-6
Assessment of Inam Lands as per Survey Report dated 1871-72 Rs 479-11-7
Thereon Settlement Inam Assessment by 1st Feby 1873.

in Saravanadam in the Taluk of Coondapore, in the District

description, Tenure and			
Old Assessment	Survey Assessment	Description of Land Whether Dry or Wet Whether Rented or Unrented Whether Cultivated or Waste Whether Irrigated or Unirrigated Whether Applied to Agriculture or Not	Whether Free By Tax &c, or Liable to Quit-rent, &c Jodi, Pucca, Kuccha Cattle Sites &c.
Where no survey has been made & no assessment fixed by Govt. the cesspaid by the Ryots to the Mandagar the overall Assessment of Rundlar Govt. Land, should be Entered in Column 7.			
6	7	8	9
32-1-12 ½		For the support of the Durgah in the Village. The institution is said to be in good order.	
4-2-5			
27-19-7 ½			
153-57			
33.87.			
187.32			
499.46			
47.13			
452.33			
Bent 9/1825			
Rs: 2909-1-5			

Toorankur, in the District of Toorankur,

Documents in support of the Inam.

By whom produced & in what year.	Written instruments in support of the Claim, Original Sunnad all Muccallams documents & other proofs of Possession. In this Column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without Quit Rent whether the Grant is produced or Lost &c and the General Specification of Terms of all documents produced should be given.
10.	11.
	Nawab Hyder alli Khan's Sunnad (original) of Sawaun 1780, granting the Village to Mulim Said Kadari.
	Tippu Sultan's Sunnad of 1200 Hijari or A.D 1783 directing the Amildars to continue the Village to Syed Mominsha Khadari original is produced.
	Toorvah's original Sunnad of 1799 directing the Amildar to continue the Village to Khader Padsha as per Inamool.
	Mysore late Maharajah's Sunnad of 6th Feby 1812 directing the Amildar to continue the Villages as Surwanamyen for the support of the Khader Padshi's Durgah as per Inamool, and leave them Villages (to) Taheer Muhammed Shah-hadary's charge (original produced)
To Kesaramadu & 4 other villages	Dewan Rauroos's order of 16th March 1812 quoting the said Rayahi's Sunnad. Original was produced.
	Late Maha rayahi's Sunnad (Copy is produced) of the 11th Decr 1830 directing the Amildar to give the Villages (to) the charge of Peer Pacha Khadri and direct his Co-sharers to obey his orders. This Sunnad is quoted in the Amildar's Tahseed (Original is produced) dated 1830. ——

of the Nándyálung Division. ——

Name and Relationship of original Grantee and		
Name of original Grantee	Name entered in the Register prepared in Fasli 1210 to 1	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to F. S. 1210.
12	13	14
"	"	Jodi and Sarvamanium account of Panthiva 1825 Asali village — 1 Dakhalis — 4 5 Pagodas 1000 —— Jodi —— Fakeer Imám Kader Padsha, & Peer padsha &c Sarvamanium Oothar account 1831-2 or Khara Fakeer Imam-Khader Padsha and Peer Padsha &c Asali village 1 Dakhali — 2 3 Being Pagodas 1000 —— Kyfiyat Patty. Sarvamanium Villages of Kevilumbi 1839 —— Being Pagodas 1000 —— Asali — 1 Dakhali — 2 3 Total Villages. Peer Pacha and Khader Pacha & others Sarvamanium. The collection is not ‡.

†, not, as per Being.
The Revenue of Kevilumbi or 1837-8 is Pagodas 228-3-6

of subsequent and present Holders Caste

Particulars regarding present Owner, if the Inam has been Subdivided, the names of each shareholder entered in this Col.n

Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holder.	Surviving heirs of the deceased	If income equitably divided between holders & assessment & obtained & in what year
15	16	17	18
Kesaramadu Dargah.			

The village is in the enjoyment of Murthyakhadary and his Co-Sharers.

Boundaries

East — Cullahally x Biraasandra Boundary Survey marks

West — Munchagowdanahally x Settyhally do do do

North — Cullahally annaikere Survey marks

South — Munchgondanahally x Biraasandra Survey marks

Y Cossection.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commr. or the Supt. of the Dn. on lapses regarding valid[illegible] or excess of the Inam, Assistants opinion & recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant & Confirmation by the Inam Commr.
19	20
To be confirmed free of tax so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled. (Signed) C. Soobbiah Assistant 2-11-69.	To be confirmed tax free, so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled. (Signed) B. Gopaulah Special Assistant. 21-3-70. Confirmed, free of tax. (Signed) C.S. Blair Offg: Inam Commr. 28th March 1870.

"Copy"

Inam Supt.ts Office
Bangalore 7th Decr. 1888.

Supt.t of Inam Settls.

C

Read:—

Letter No: 367 of the
14th November 1888
from the Dy: Comr,
Trichinopoly Dt; reporting
that, one of the two
Managers of the
Durga for the main-
tenance of which
the Inam village
of Késaramadevi in
the Trichinopoly Taluk
was granted, having died lately
he has
directed
suspended the
surviving Manager
Syed Halim Badsha
Khadri to continue
as sole Manager,
subject to his rendering
proper accounts to the
Amildar, who should
exercise the same
authority over the Durga
and village as over
any other Govt. Charitable
Institutions.

Docket No: 39 of the
7th Dec. 1888 from the Asst. C.
of Lalgudi reporting that
the Késaramadevi
village is confiscated to
the Inams of the Durga.

Order.

The arrangement
made

made by the Dy: Comr; is approved.

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

From

To The Dy: Comr; Tumkur & Supt: of Excise

Dated 22nd May 1889

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 & 3

General No. 1334-S

No. 43

To The Dy: Comr; Tumkur

Returning the original Correspondence

Supt: of Excise

Dy: Comr; Tumkur

F. & C. S. 1888
25.

No 1035 20/2/91.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2630
dated 13th January 91 received at the
Dewan's Office from Syed Mohamed
Pacha Khadri of Kas
Madavoo, Village
Tumkur Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Dy: Commr
Tumkur District
Disposal

By Order,

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
16-2-1891.
20

AR

ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ.

ಸಕ್ 189 / ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಬರಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾನು.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮ್ಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನಿಗೆ ಸ್ಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ತೆಗದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 10 ನೇ
ಸಕ್ 189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ರುಜು

ಆಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ

ಈ ವಕಿಕ್ಕಾರನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯುಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮುಕ್ಷವು ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫ್ಯೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Petition
12/11/91

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
R... 18322
DATE 12-11-91

Two Rupees stamp affixed

12-12-91

To
His Highness
Sri Chamaraja Wodeyar
Maharaja of Mysore
&c &c &c

The humble petition of
Syed Mahamood Pacha Khanee Son of
Syed Haleem Pacha Khanee and Syed
Mahamood Pacha Khanee Son of Syed
Murteza Pacha Khanee most humbly
and respectfully sheweth.

That the petitioners are the residents of Kesaremane village Koonigal Hobli Tumkur Taluq. The said village was granted in Inam for the support of the Darga of Syed Moneer Pacha Khanee. The petitioners are the descendants of the said Syed Moneer Pacha Khanee. The said village was taken possession of by the Government in 1881 and in 1884 it was restored to the Inamdars and the same was given to Syed Khasim Pacha Khanee Son of Syed Abdul Rahiman Shah Khanee and Syed Haleem Pacha Shah Khanee Son of

2. sons of Syed Nurkeza Shah Khadri were appointed managers. The appointment of these 2 managers was approved by the Dewan (vide certified copy of the Dewan's Order No 3224 dated Bangalore 21 August 1884:) this is 126 hereto produced and marked A.).

3. In accordance with the above order an Order No 936 dated 23rd February 1884 was also issued by the Deputy Tahsildar authorising & directing that the managers should keep separate accounts of the villages; that the Local Funds amounts should be properly paid to Government and till a separate account for Executing the Court work and Musrid monies is collected should be remitted to the Taluq &c. A certified copy of this Order is hereto produced and marked B.

4. The managers have executed a Muchilka to the Taluq clearly defining their duties.

5. In the meanwhile, one of the managers viz. Syed Saleem Pasha Khadri son of Syed Abdul Rahiman Shah Khadri died and the other manager, namely, Syed Ahmed Pasha Khadri son of Syed Murtuza Shah Khadri was appointed sole manager and he has executed a Muchilka to the Taluq on the 29th November 1888 clearly defining his duties. A copy of this is hereto submitted and marked C

6. In accordance with that Muchilka he

manager

affairs of the Dargah, that he would get prepared the Bandaramay accounts and deliver them over to the Taluq; that out of the realizations in the village, he would pay to the Government the Government dues; and the balance he would utilize for the Dargah according to the Mamool or custom and would submit accounts. That he would not use the said amount for his own use &c. He was also to be removed from the Managership if he acted contrary to the above terms.

6. He did not act up to the terms of the above medelka and the petitioners and some other villagers shortly thereafter submitted a petition to your Honor Complaining against the Conduct of the said manager. That the said petitioner, your humble petitioners were favored with an endorsement No 2630 dated 16th February 1871. Which herewith submitted and marked D. Whereby it is stated that the said petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal.

7. It was referred to the Amildar and this Officer came to the village of Basavanda and inspected the Dargah, the invalid

the Mosque, the tank, the compound wall of the Dargah and submitted a Report to the effect that the Mosque requires repairs, (that Kooroosh) or annual ceremony is not being performed since the abolition (1882-83), that the present manager himself refused to this effect; that the Tank of the village is in a very bad condition; that the Compound wall of the Makkam has fallen down here and there, that many shrubs have grown within the compound and on the Gopuram and that they should be removed; that doors of the Garbha of the Dargah have given way and that the Makkam has been in the same condition as it had been when he inspected it on the 1st October 1877. This report is dated 18th March 1891.

8. Thereupon an Order was given to the petitioners to the effect that a notice was issued to the Manager that all the business for which the Enquiry was granted should be properly carried out and if not carried out and should there be a complaint about it and should the same be proved, there would be necessity for appointing a separate Manager in accordance with the Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner No. 3133-5-44-42 dated 26-9-1879. This endorsement is to be sent to submitted and marked B.

9. Thereupon, since the Manager did not do the necessary Pooja, the petitioners together with the

together with others submitted a petition to the Assistant Collector on the 12th September 1891 praying for the reliefs set forth in their petition, for the removal of the Manager, from which, he was pleased to give an Assessment to Rs 6 dated 21-9-1891 to the effect that roads and Cemetery) should be performed that month and after these matter or not it is done it should be decided. This petition together with the Assessment thereon is herein submitted and marked H.

10. Thereupon the petitioners appealed to the Deputy Commissioner on the 29-9-1891 and he also passed the same order on the said date on which the petition was presented before viz 29-9-1891. This petition together with its Assessment is herein produced and marked I.

11. The petitioners now beg to prefer their appeal to your honour against the said order for the following reasons, namely

a/. They most humbly beg to submit that there is no connection whatever between the performance of the roads which alone was the cause of a cart for only Rupees 25/ and the disposal of their petition

b/. That the Manager, after having heard of the petition presented by the petitioners, performed the roads at their own cost only

c/. That the cart passed walls of the Durga are not built for cows as they should have been and they are not repaired and the money should be before and they have not been known.

d/. That the Bank is in arrears as reported to by the Auditor and the Arrears accounts is given out for cultivation to members the manager please ascertain and report to the Bank.

e/. That the Manager has received Kanadyam grain reports for future years.

f/. That the Bankers are not fed as they should have been and many go away without being fed.

g/. That Bank is not daily lighted in the days

h/. That money is not received to the Peons for collecting the necessary amount for running Bank work.

i/. That the Manager has taken up possession the lands of Thakur Thalwar Dalvee (who have and Dalvee in Kerala who owned Lands as Tenants) and has given them out for Kanadyam and the himself enjoys the same.

j. That he has dismissed the Reported Postal Boodhay Gandals without any fault and has appointed another who lacks his experience and performance

k/. He received the Kanadyam of Tenants from Rupees 15 to add to Rupees 60 to add

l/. He said Rupees 20/- to his own Pocket for supplying Chunam to for Backs the year Samaj Utsav and he's Banks not supplied Chunam

m/. He said Rupees 60/- received by him for Whitening and

[illegible]

[illegible]

w/. he has misappropriated the dargah
accounts for his own use and
o/ in short he does things which he ought
not to do under the terms of the Nizamat
and does not do things which he is bound
to do under the terms of the Nizamat
The Nizamat also does things which
would bring loss to the Dargah & the
persons interested in the same and
for which he is benefited by his actions
Now the foregoing reasons the petitioners
most humbly beg to submit that pending
the final decision of disposal of the
Dargah will only cause detrimental to the
Dargah and they most humbly pray that
he may be removed from the management
The petitioners most humbly beg
to remain
Most obedient
Your Honors most obedient and
humble servants

Bangalore
8 - 11 - 1941

روجوع سید محمود باشاہ قادری ایس اول منیجر

4088
4-3-92

Please prep the

Proceedings No 3224 of 21/8/84
126

and the file -

20/11/91

Probate Proceedings No 13345
43
of 22/5/89 to D.C. Swinburne,

papers filed
B.D.
28/11/91.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 5081
DATE 16-5-92

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
MYSORE
60.

(General Serial No 30)

1893.

From

To The Dy Commr
Tumkur Dist

Dated 2
Despatched 2 Feby/93
Received

Enclosures No.
General No. 434
Mi 7 No. 35-93

It has been brought to the notice of Govt that the affairs of the Nomeen Padsha Khadri Darga at Tiptarmadu, Tumkur Taluk, are now mismanaged and that Syed Halim Padsha Khadri, the present manager thereof, has committed several irregularities of which the following are the chief:—

(1) He misappropriates the revenue of the Inam village attached to the Darga.

(2) He gives unnecessary

1st Tal enquiry into all the points referred to above and submit a full report at an early date.

3. It should also be stated whether the monthly accounts of receipts & disbursements of the Darga are being rendered to the Taluk office by the present manager and whether the Amildar exercises the same authority & functions over this Darga & its Inam village as he does over any other Govt Muzrai institution (vide Dy Commrs letter No. 367 dated 14th Novr/88 and Govt Pro: No. 1334-37/43 dated 22nd May/89).

By order

Secretary,
6.5.

troubles to the ryots of the Inam village of Thiruvarmad and has taken possession of the Inam lands illegally assigned for the services of Potti, Talayaar, Kholan

(3) He has dismissed the Patel (Huchey Gowda) without any fault and has appointed another man who is not the hereditary Patel

(4) Lamp is not daily lighted in the Durga and Fakeers are not fed therein.

(5)

(3) He does not act up to the terms of the Mucha (2) lika executed by him.

(5) He has reduced the Kandayam of a tenant from Rs 18 and odd to Rs 16 and odd

(6) He paid Rs 20/ to his son for supplying Chuna to the Durga and the latter has not yet supplied Chunam

(7) He has also paid Rs 10/ to his brother for nothing

(4) (3) Lamp is not daily lighted in the Durga and Fakeers are not fed therein.

(5) (4) The compound walls of the Institution are not properly repaired, and the thorny shrubs growing in the crevices &c. are not removed.

(6) (16) The tank belonging to the Inam village attached to the Durga is in a very bad state.

2. The Dy Commr is requested to institute a

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE 35 MUZRAI BRANCH DEPARTMENT OF MYSORE

1893 to 95

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkúr District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to ~~Govt~~ Govt. of Mysore.
Muzrai department.

Dated 15th July 1893

Despatched 16th

Received 17-7-93

Enclosures No. 1.

General No.
No. 52.

Muzrai Secretary's docket
No: 434 of the 2nd Feby: 93
135-92

Submits copies of the correspondence between the Dy: Comr's: office and the Amildar of Tumkúr, and states that the charges brought against the manager of the Durga at Kesaramadu in the Tumkúr Taluk are generally untrue, & that the manager resigned — this brother has taken his place —.

Sense the Dy: C of Tumkúr by his Rehpration of 1 Sept Hatem Ka Ka Kadi Ro are seems to have been exploded allowed to proceed him — The Amildar recommends the Remuneration of his brother Kader Pasha Kadri. & & Confirm states Rather that this letter — he has forwarded to the Correspondence & this office without giving his own opinion on the matter — say that Kader Pasha by the attempt as manager in room of his brother that has resigned & that the Shekdar Hatem Kadri & Residents of Kesaramadu & Amildar of Tumkúr who did observe same impression over this Durga & Village allowed to act as our Muzrai institution

To Mr Secretary 113 of 135-92 Dy C/ 15/7/93 17/7/93 as

No: 52

Tumkúr District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkúr 15th July 1893.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your D.O. Ket No: 434/135-92 of the 2nd February 1893 directing an enquiry into the affairs of the Moneen Padsha Khadri Dargah at Kesarmadu in the Tumkúr Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted correspondence between this office and the Amildar of Tumkúr. It will be seen therefrom that the charges brought against the Manager of the Institution are generally untrue. The person that was the Manager hitherto resigned and his brother has taken his place.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner

1 Report No: 1533 of the 6th May 1893 from the Amildar of Tumkúr.
2 Reply No: 1505 of the 13th May 1893 from the Amildar's rejoinder No: 1821 of the 13th June 1893.

No: 1533. Tumkur Sheristadar's Report

Dated 6th May 1893.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1196 of the 4th February last, forwarding for report copy of the Muzrai Secretary's Docket No: 434 of the 2nd Idem about certain irregularities alleged to have been committed by Syed Haleem Badsha Khadri, Manager of the Moneem Badsha Khadri Durga at Kasurpadu Sarva-manya Village in this Taluk, I beg to report as follows:—

Muz: F/35-92

2. With regard to the misappropriation, alleged to have been committed by the manager of the revenue of the Inam Village referred to in clause 1 of the first para of the Muzrai Secretary's Docket, I beg to state that I went through the Jamabandi Accounts of the Village kept

Kept by the Shanbogue there of. The entire area of the Village is 98 Candies, 15 Kudus and 3 Seers, of which an extent of 3 Candies, 10 Kudus, and 4½ Seers is treated as Kharab on account of the Village Site and the bed of the tank. Of the remaining Arable extent of 95 Candies, 4 Kudus and 14½ Seers, an area of 52 Candies, 8 Kudus and 12 Seers is in the free enjoyment of some Fakirs who claim to be the descendants of Moneen Badsha Khadri for whom the Durga was built; an area of 6 Candy 9 Kudus and 8¾ Seers is set apart as Inam lands for the Village Bara-balaty Servants, and the remaining area of 36 Candies, 6 Kudus and 9¾ Seers is given out to Ryots for cultiva-tion on Candayam tenure. It will thus be seen that there is no waste land in the Village. From the lands that are given out to Ryots for Candayam a yearly revenue of Rs: 281-14-10 including

local

local cess is realised, out of which a sum of Rupees 178—2—5 is being distributed among the Hissadars as detailed in the margin. The remaining revenue of Rupees 103—12—5 with a Mohatarfa tax of Rupees 7—0—0 is set apart for the Expenditure of the Durga Puja. The accounts for the year 1891—92 go to shew that the above mentioned sum of Rupees 110—12—5 was entirely spent during that year As the accounts do not shew the details of Expenditure incurred then and there it is hard to find out inaccuracies. I do not however think that the Manager has really misappropriated anything out of this. In Connection with this I venture to note that the Jamakiarch accounts are not written up in detail every day and that no Vouchers are kept for the Expenditure incurred. It is very surprising that for the last 4 years the items of Expenditure and receipts should have been

51—8—3 Hissadars of the 1st order.
44—11—4 Do. of 2½ order.
54—10—10 Do: of 3rd order.
27—3—" Female Sharers of the 4th order
178—2—5

been found to tally with each other. I beg to suggest that hence forward a register with necessary headings be prescribed for working up the Jamabandi accounts of the Village daily, shewing the several items of receipts and Expenses on the Credit and debit sides respectively supported by proper Vouchers and that extracts thereof rendered monthly to the Salik Cutcherry with a Cash account (in abstract) shewing the opening and closing balances, for check. If this be approved of I shall issue necessary instructions in the matter.

This has been approved

3 With regard to the trouble alleged to be unnecessarily given by the Manager to the Ryots of the Village --, I venture to state that there was no such Complaint made to me when I had gone to the Village thrice. The Ryots seem to be quite undisturbed.

4. With regard to the Source Income

Lands

lands alleged to have been illegally taken possession of by the Manager himself I beg to state that the service Inam land of the Thota of the Village is in the enjoyment of the Thote himself. That of the Thalavar has been temporarily leased out to certain persons other than the Manager for as Candayam as there is no Thalavar working in the Village for the time being. That of the washerman has been in the enjoyment of one Khader Bacha Khadri and another Ghyasudin Badsha Khadri (Son of the Manager) as there is no washerman in the Village now. They have however agreed to give up the lands if a Washerman settles himself in the Inam Village and does the service required of him. Thus the allegation about the illegal possession of these lands by the Manager himself is not true —

5 With regard to the 3rd clause of the 1st para I beg to add that the Manager of the Village executed a Muchalika in the Taluk Cutcherry on the 28th November 1888, to the effect that he would deposit in the Government Saving's Bank the balance of the receipts of the Village after incurring the necessary expenditure. On questioning he has now stated that as the receipts were just sufficient to meet the Expenditure during the past years subsequent to the execution of the Muchelika, he could not deposit any thing in the Savings Bank. The accounts written up by the Shanbogue and signed by the Manager corroborate his Statement. But I am not prepared to give much credit to these accounts as they seem to have been written up for formality's sake only —.

6. With reference to the 4th clause I beg to state that as admitted by the

Vaidya

the manager himself during his absence for about 10 months in 1891–92 from the Village, the supply of lamp-oil to the Durga was not properly made by the person engaged therefor and that consequently lamp was not lighted in the Darga, on some days. But of late this has been properly attended to. Enquiry shews that Fakirs are also fed now.

7. With reference to the 5th clause. I beg to state that the Compound walls of the Darga do require thorough repair and a portion of the premises is also overgrown with Weeds and thorny shrubs. The manager explains that he could neither repair the Compound walls nor get the thorny shrubs removed for want of funds. The slabs of the Darga have a little settled down and they are to be raised. He has with all that got a small mud wall, built up to the West of the Darga, where there

... were formerly in the hands of the Village. It would require not less than Rs. 1000 to keep the Bazar and the premises in thorough order now. A Register describing the condition of the Bazar and premises is attached hereto.

5 With reference to the 6th point, I beg to state that I inspected with the Manager and Villagers, the Mahajans describing the condition is enclosed. It is really in a very bad condition. The Manager explains this as the result of paucity of funds. The repairs would cost about 2000 Rupees.

With reference to the 3rd para of the Government order I beg to submit that monthly accounts of the receipts and disbursements of the Bazar have not been sent to the Collector by the Manager. The Manager explains that the Shanbogue

Shanbogue

has

should have prepared these accounts and sent them to the Cutcherry and the Shanbogue says that he was not asked to prepare these accounts by the manager. The submission of these accounts to the Taluk Office is now being insisted upon.

10. The Darga and the Village have every now and then been inspected by the Amildars and instructions issued to the manager from time to time to keep them in good order.

11 In conclusion I beg to bring to your notice that several irregularities and too much confusion have crept into the administration of the Village owing to want of capacity, oldage and remissness of the present manager Syed Faleem Backa Khadri Sahab. He does not permanently reside in the Inam Village. He cannot check the Shanbogue's accounts. He is almost a puppet in the hands of the

men to

the Shanbogue, and under such cir=
=cumstances it would be too much
to expect a fair management of the
Village by him. When I was conduct=
=ing this enquiry on the 20th of Febru=
=ary last in the said Village the
Manager Syed Haleem Bacha Khadri
voluntarily tendered a razeenama
and begged that he might be relieved
of his onerous duties all the sooner.
Upon this I asked the Villagers and
the persons interested in the Darga
whom they would propose to be their
next Manager. They all with one
voice voted one Khader Bacha Khadri
for the said post. Manager Syed
Haleem Bacha Khadri also agreed
to it. The nominee too consented to
take up the appointment. He is the
younger brother of the present Manager.
His age is about 40 years and he
appears to be the most intelligent
among the Mussulmans of the place.

He

He belongs to the family of the saint to the sacred memory of whom the Darga is erected. He besides pays a high Candayem in the adjoining Government Villages of Muruchagondanca-halli and Maidala Amanikéré During the repeated absence of the present manager this Khader Bacha Khadri seems to have looked to the affairs of the Durga. Eversince the appointment of the present manager there have been repeated Complaints against him. Half of the local cess payable to Government on account of this Village for the year Khara is still in arrears. The local cess for the current year (Vandana) is yet unpaid. Khader Bacha Khadri promises to clear up these arrears as well as to bring the affairs of the Village to a proper order if he is put in charge of the Village soon. I therefore venture to recommend

recommend that Syed Haleem Bacha Khadri's resignation for the Managership may be kindly accepted and his brother Khader Bacha Khadri appointed in his place as desired by the local people —.

12. The Vernacular record of enquiry is also submitted.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient & humble Servant
(Signed) B. Ramaswamiah
Amildar.

6—5—93.

Memo:

Reg: No:
1505
1 file

13 — 5 — 1893

In Para 11, the Amildar reports the inability of the present Manager to exercise that extent of check against the Shanbogue's accounts ~~which~~ as is ~~very~~ desirable for the proper management of ~~such~~ the trust funds. The Amildar speaks highly of the capabilities of Khader Pacha to hold the post.

As

He is requested to report whether the man has sufficient knowledge of Canarese for the purpose of checking the accounts of the Shanbogue. A petition from Syed Balundin Khadri dated 10th instant bringing to notice specific instances of the said Khader Patcha's misconduct, acts of misappropriation and insolvency, and quoting the order of the late Deputy Commissioner Mr: Mohammed Alli Condemning his nomination is herewith forwarded for the Amildar's further report as to the veracity of the statements therein contained. The attention of the Amildar is invited to the Government Proceedings No: 1334–5–43 of 22nd May 1889 Copy of which was forwarded to him with this Office No: 114 dated 28/5/89 regarding the liability of the manager to render accounts to the Taluk Cutcherry. I wish to know why this final order of Government has not

not been notified to enforced.

(Signed) C. Ramachandra Rao
for Deputy Commissioner

Revenue
'82/

Sir,

In reply to the above I beg to state that Khader Badsha Khadri knows Kannarese a little, but he does not seem to have any proficiency in it. What he knows is, I think, quite enough for all practical purposes.

2 Syed Baboodin Badsha Khadri presented here a petition similar to the one received with the above & enclosures and upon that I was directed him to produce documentary evidence as to the misconduct of Khader Badsha Khadri and gave him 15 days' time therefor. He has not appeared again in this Cutcherry even since the Endorsement was

was given him. I questioned Khader-Badsha Khadri also in this matter. His detailed reply is hereto annexed. He admits having been once fined for assaulting another person. This is simply a misdemeanor and any man of short temper is likely to commit it. As for other allegations there is no proof adduced by the petitioner though ample time was given him. I think this is merely the upshot of personal malice. Thus Khader Badsha Khadri was never a Manager and as such could not have ever misappropriated the Village funds. If he was an insolvent he could not have owned extensive lands under the Maidal tank and in the Manchigondally Village. His creditors would have at once got them attached and sold.

3. The monthly return prescribed by Government is now being insisted upon.

The

The long and frequent absence of the late manager from the Inam Village was the chief cause for the non-submission of the account to this office hitherto. The Village Shanbogue and the present manager nominee have been well warned in the matter.

4. In conclusion I beg to add that the Inam Village has been without a sanctioned manager ever since manager Fakir Badsha Khadri resigned his post in public. Khader Badsha Khadri, his brother, has been actually looking after the affairs of the Village and the Durga. He has besides paid up all the arrears of revenue due from the Village to Government up to date—.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient & humble servant

(Signed) B. Ramaswamiah

Amildar.

13-6-93.

"Copies"

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

Trichinopoly District

Muzrai

Read:—

Letter No. 52 dated July 1893 from the Dy. Comm. Tumkur Dt submitting of correspondence between himself and the Amildar of the Tumkur Taluk, — regarding the alleged mis- -management of the Moosa Badsha Khadri Darga in Keesermadii, Tumkur Taluk.

No 113 R
Mus 7 185-92 27.7.93

Order thereon - No.

From the corres- -pondence submitted with the foregoing Letts, the Govt observe that Syed ~~Hashim~~ Halim Badsha had ~~ns~~ been the institution and its

satisfactorily and that
great laxity prevailed in the
keeping of the accounts.
On the 25th February 1893,
this person resigned the managership
~~office~~ on the score of old
age and ~~he~~ has been formally
appointed to the post since.
The villagers and others ~~interested in the Darga have~~
unanimously recommended
one Khader Badsha Khadri
a brother of Syed ~~Hakim~~ Halim
Badsha Kadri alluded to
above for the vacant manage
-ship of the Darga.
2. ~~——~~ The 2nd Comm^r.
has simply submitted
~~the~~ correspondence ~~between~~
~~himself and the Amildar~~

without giving his own opinion about the competency or otherwise of the person recommended by the villagers but, however, as the Amildar in his report to the Dy: Commr. states that Khader Badcha Kadri is the best eligible person in the village, the Government have no objection to his ~~appointment~~ appointed as the managers of the Darga.

3. The manager, should in future submit monthly accounts of Receipts and Disbursements of the Darga to the Amildar who will exercise the same supervision over this Institution as he does over the other

Institutions in the Salut.

Secretary

I

Re Deputy Commr Jenkins

Draft

Muzrai

Read-

Letter No.52, dated 15th July/93, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District submitting copies of correspondence between himself and the Amildar of the Tumkur Taluk, regarding the alleged mis-management of the Momin Badsha Khadri Darga in Kessermadu, Tumkur Taluk.

--

Order thereon No. 113 Muz. F. 135-92,
dated 27-7-93.

From the correspondence submitted with the foregoing letter, the Government observe that Syed Halim Badsha Kadri had not been managing the Institution and its endowments satisfactorily and that great laxity prevailed in the keeping of the accounts. On the 25th February 1893, this person resigned the managership on the score of old age and none has been formally appointed to that post since. The villagers and others interested in the Darga have unanimously recommended one Khader Badsha Khadri a brother of Syed Halim Badsha Kadri alluded to above for the vacant managership of the Darga.

2. The Deputy Commissioner has simply submitted the correspondence without giving his own opinion about the competency or otherwise of the person recommended by the villagers. But, however, as the Amildar in his report to the Deputy Commissioner states that Khader Badcha Kadri is the best eligible person in the village, the Government have no objection to his being appointed as the manager of the Darga.

3. The manager, should in future submit monthly accounts of Receipts and Disbursements of the Darga to the Amildar who will exercise the same supervision over this Institution as he does over the other Muzrai Institutions in the Taluk.

Sd/- xx xx xx
Secretary.

"Copy"

(P.Nagesha Rao)
Under Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department.

1. Date of application
2. Number of words
3. Copying fees
4. Comparing fees
5. Copied by
6. Compared by
7. Copy ready on
8. Copy delivered on